

Paul Adams, AIA
 Jose Alvarez, AIA
 Franziska Amacher, FAIA
 Jonathan Anderson, Assoc. AIA
 Lauren Arington, AIA
 Stephen Barasch, AIA
 Daniel Barnum, FAIA
 Dan Bartlett, AIA
 Tom Bauer, AIA
 Chris Beard, AIA
 Rodney Bennett, AIA
 John Benson, AIA
 John Birath, AIA
 Michael Blutt, AIA
 Chad Boetger, AIA
 Daniel Brogan, AIA
 Robert Brown, FAIA
 Nicole Brown, AIA
 Michael Bucci, AIA
 Greg Campbell, AIA
 Alex Cassidy, AIA
 Charles Chichester, AIA
 Mike Cincala, AIA
 Kevin Connors, AIA
 Josh Cooper, AIA
 Jim Dalton, FAIA
 Joleen Darragh, AIA
 Grant Davis, AIA
 Pedro Delarosa, Assoc. AIA
 Paul Dibos, AIA
 Jennifer Dort, AIA
 John Dugger, AIA
 Merle Easton, AIA
 Ladd Ehlinger, AIA
 Faris Eid, AIA
 Jerry Erbach, AIA
 Larry Everhard, AIA
 James Fausett, FAIA
 Deborah Fein-Brug, AIA
 Monica Fenderson, AIA
 Karen Fenderson, AIA
 Domenic Ferrante, AIA
 John Ferrera, AIA
 Ralph Fey, AIA
 Peter Finsen, Assoc. AIA
 Ginger French, AIA
 Richard Gage, AIA
 Alan Glassman, Assoc. AIA
 Howard Glatstein, AIA
 Fred Gleason, AIA
 Ed Glowacki, AIA
 Geoffrey Gogan, AIA
 Eddie Gontram, AIA
 Ken Gorski, AIA
 Robert Green, AIA

Josh Gruner, AIA
 David Hammel, AIA
 Chuck Hardin, AIA
 Jerry Hartsfield, AIA
 Scott Hatfield, AIA
 Ken Higa, AIA
 Bradley Higdon, AIA
 Gary Hilbert, AIA
 Nicole Hilton, AIA
 Patrick Huff, AIA
 R. Perry Jarrell, AIA
 Joseph Jimenez, AIA
 Bruce Johnson, AIA
 Len Johnson, AIA
 David Joiner, AIA
 Edgar Jorge-Ortiz, AIA
 Wilson Kessel, Assoc. AIA
 Barry Koren, AIA
 Dale Kribbs, AIA
 Bret Kudlicki, AIA
 Sophorn Kuoy, AIA
 Rodney Lamberson, AIA
 Diego Lastres, AIA
 Jeff Laur, AIA
 Dan Lawrence, AIA
 Andy Lawrence, AIA
 Steven Lazarus, AIA
 Nina Le Baron, AIA
 Michel Lebel, AIA
 Michael Lobuglio, AIA
 Cory Lowery, AIA
 William Lucus, AIA
 David Mack, Assoc. AIA
 Henry MacLean, AIA
 Robyn McAdoo, AIA
 Cheryl McAfee, FAIA
 Patrick McFadden, AIA
 Meg McLaurin, AIA
 Jason Medina, Assoc. AIA
 Gary Mendoza, AIA
 George Metzger, AIA
 Thomas Meyer, AIA
 Scott Meyer, AIA
 Martin Michaelis, AIA
 John Miller, FAIA
 Michelle Moraczewski, AIA
 Michael Moyta, AIA
 Ron Nance, AIA
 Jordan Northcross, AIA
 Stacey Oguinn, AIA
 Masuo Okamoto, AIA
 Kate O'Neil, Assoc. AIA
 Marie Ortiz, Assoc. AIA
 George Owen, AIA
 Carole Pacheco, AIA

LET US RAISE OUR VOICE

VOTE **YES** TO SUPPORTING
A NEW WTC 7 INVESTIGATION

J. Mike Parnon, AIA
 Norbert Peiker, FAIA
 John Pesa, AIA
 Neil Pinney, AIA
 Craig Polhamus, AIA
 Mike Pond, AIA
 Rich Pontius, AIA
 Dale Powers, AIA
 Bob Probst, AIA
 William Rakatansky, FAIA
 John Ramsay, AIA
 Ralph Raymond, AIA
 Eve Reynolds, AIA
 David Richardson, AIA
 Daniel Roach, AIA
 Marcia Roberts, AIA
 Alan Robertson, AIA
 Mark Robin, AIA
 John Root, AIA
 Mike Rosen, AIA

Uwe Rothe, AIA
 Mark Rudolf, AIA
 Angela Russ, Assoc. AIA
 Mike Rutland, AIA
 Boleslav Ryzinski, AIA
 Heather Salisbury, AIA
 John Salmen, AIA
 Gisela Schmidt, AIA
 Michael Seaman, AIA
 Kian Shamloo, AIA
 George Sincos, AIA
 Louis Sirianni, FAIA
 Andrew Smith, AIA
 Jeffery Smith, AIA
 Othelda Spencer, AIA
 Jennifer Spencer, AIA
 Jes Stafford, AIA
 William Stanley, FAIA
 Jonathan Stevens, Assoc. AIA
 Ray Strang, AIA

Kevin Sullivan, AIA
 Steve Sweat, AIA
 Richard Taylor, FAIA
 Walt Teague, FAIA
 Brian Tibbs, AIA
 John Titus, AIA
 LeRoy Troyer, FAIA
 Susan Turner, AIA
 Vassilios Valaes, AIA
 James Vignola, AIA
 Keith Wales, AIA
 Richard Wallace, AIA
 Jonathan Warburg, FAIA
 Henry Weinberg, AIA
 Jane Weinzapfel, FAIA
 Chip White, AIA
 Andrew Wolff, AIA
 David Woodward, AIA
 Mark Wright, AIA
 Faith Zimmerman, AIA
 Hope Zimmerman, AIA



**BECOME A
SPONSOR**

Join the **hundreds of AIA members** who will be sponsoring next year's resolution calling for the AIA to support a new WTC 7 investigation.



**MAKE YOUR
VOICE HEARD**

Let your chapter representatives know that **you believe** the AIA should support a new WTC 7 investigation.



**LEARN MORE,
GET INVOLVED**

Visit **AE911Truth.org** to learn more and get involved in our education and advocacy programs.

OUR VOICE MATTERS

► DEAR FRIENDS AND COLLEAGUES,

Late in the afternoon on September 11, 2001, a 47-story high-rise known as **World Trade Center Building 7** fell completely and symmetrically into its own footprint after being on fire for several hours. More puzzling than the sudden, total demise of a building so large is the fact that we in the architecture community have spent so little time discussing it. Even 15 years later, many architects are still learning about it for the first time.

I remember watching news footage of the collapse that day and being stunned as I realized that something was very wrong. Really, one can tell just by looking at it: This building was demolished. Seven years later, the National Institute of Standards and Technology finally issued a report that was supposed to be the definitive explanation. As I delved into it, my fear that we would not get an honest answer was confirmed. Their model does not resemble the actual collapse. Their story is elaborate and truly far-fetched. The evidence, which they so clearly ignored, points overwhelmingly to controlled demolition. I'm confident that most of you who spend time looking into it will reach the same conclusion.

At the AIA National Convention this coming April, several hundred members will submit the enclosed resolution, which calls for the Institute to support a new investigation. When it comes time to vote, the question before us will not be a technical one, but a moral one: **Do we remain silent? Or do we have the courage to raise our voice for what is right?**

Some will say that this is not an issue for the AIA — that the architecture profession faces many other challenges to which we must devote our full energy. I submit to you that we have the capacity and the strength to include this among our many important goals. As the largest association of architects in the world, our voice carries tremendous weight. Thus, our responsibility to the public is equally tremendous. Only when we have the truth about the events of September 11, 2001, can our nation's healing truly begin.



Daniel Barnum, FAIA

THE RESOLUTION



WTC 7 WAS A 47-STORY HIGH-RISE ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE WTC COMPLEX.



WTC 7 CAME DOWN SYMMETRICALLY INTO ITS OWN FOOTPRINT, AT FREE-FALL ACCELERATION, IN UNDER SEVEN SECONDS.

TITLE Investigation of the Total Collapse of World Trade Center Building 7

INTENT To adopt a Position Statement declaring the AIA's support for a new investigation into the total collapse of World Trade Center Building 7 on September 11, 2001.

WHEREAS, thousands of members of the architecture and engineering professions, including the _____ sponsors of this resolution, now believe there is sufficient evidence contradicting the findings of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) to warrant a new investigation into the total collapse of World Trade Center Building 7 (WTC 7), a 47-story high-rise that collapsed into its own footprint at 5:20 PM on September 11, 2001;

WHEREAS, the cause of the total collapse of WTC 7 has become the subject of intense public debate, to which architects — through their knowledge, skill, and experience — are uniquely qualified to contribute; and

WHEREAS, prior to and since September 11, 2001, no steel-framed high-rise has ever suffered a total collapse, except buildings demolished through the procedure known as controlled demolition; and

WHEREAS, the total collapse of WTC 7 exemplified many of the signature features of controlled demolition, including:

- **Sudden onset:** The roofline of WTC 7 went from being stationary to being in free fall in approximately one-half second.
- **Rapidity:** The roofline of WTC 7 fell to the ground in less than seven seconds.
- **Free fall:** For 2.25 seconds of its descent, WTC 7 fell at the rate of gravity over a distance of eight stories, meaning that the lower structure of the building provided no resistance whatsoever.
- **Symmetry:** WTC 7 fell directly downward through what had been the path of greatest resistance, with the debris deposited mostly inside the building's footprint.
- **Explosions and window breakage:** Vertical sequences of explosions and window breakage could be seen running up the north face of WTC 7 as it began to collapse.



- **Dismemberment:** The steel frame of WTC 7 was almost entirely dismembered.
- **Pulverization:** Most of WTC 7's concrete was pulverized to a consistency of sand and gravel.
- **Totality:** The entire structure of WTC 7 collapsed to the ground, leaving no sections of the building standing; and

WHEREAS, first responders and bystanders reported explosions and other phenomena suggestive of controlled demolition immediately prior to and during the total collapse of WTC 7, as exemplified in the following statement by a New York University medical student who was interviewed on 1010 WINS radio moments after the collapse:

"[W]e heard this sound that sounded like a clap of thunder. Turned around.... [I]t looked like there was a shockwave ripping through the building and the windows all busted out.... And then about a second later the bottom floor caved out and the building followed after that"; and

WHEREAS, a CNN video camera captured the sound of an explosion coming from WTC 7 and the following statements prior to the onset of the collapse:

Unidentified voice: "You hear that?"

Voice of emergency responder #1: "Keep your eye on that building. It'll be coming down soon."

Voice of emergency responder #2: "Building is about to blow up, move it back.... We are walking back, there's a building about to blow up. Flame and debris coming down"; and

WHEREAS, numerous experts in controlled demolition and structural engineering have attested that the total collapse of WTC 7 could have been caused only by controlled demolition, as exemplified in the following statement made by Dutch demolition expert Danny Jowenko after viewing video of the collapse:

"This is controlled demolition.... It's been imploded. It's a hired job, done by a team of experts.... It's without a doubt a professional job"; and

LEFT: FIRES IN WTC 7 SEEN FROM THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING.

RIGHT: AN AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH TAKEN ON SEPTEMBER 17, 2001, SHOWING WTC 7'S DISMEMBERED FRAME MOSTLY CONTAINED WITHIN THE BUILDING'S FOOTPRINT.

“Building is about to blow up, move it back.... We are walking back, there's a building about to blow up.”

—UNIDENTIFIED EMERGENCY WORKER

“They simply blew up the columns and the rest caved in afterwards.”

—DANNY JOWENKO, DEMOLITION EXPERT

LET US
RAISE
OUR
VOICE


“The specifics of the fires in WTC 7 and how they caused the building to collapse remain unknown at this time.... [T]he best hypothesis has only a low probability of occurrence.”

—FEMA, MAY 2002



“We were surprised that Tower 7 collapsed.”

—JONATHAN BARNETT,
FEMA INVESTIGATOR



NFPA 921: GUIDE FOR FIRE AND
EXPLOSION INVESTIGATIONS

“[T]ruthfully, I don’t really know. We’ve had trouble getting a handle on building No. 7.”

—DR. SHYAM SUNDER, MARCH 2006

WHEREAS, in spite of the fact that the fires in WTC 7 were unextraordinary and the building had only modest structural damage, the New York City Office of Emergency Management (OEM) began warning members of the New York Fire Department (FDNY) sometime before 11:30 AM that WTC 7 “was in serious danger of collapse,” and the FDNY proceeded to establish a safety zone around WTC 7 in the early afternoon; and

WHEREAS, officials at the scene were so certain of WTC 7’s impending total collapse that it became widely covered in the media, as exemplified by MSNBC’s Ashleigh Banfield, who reported, “I’ve heard several reports from several different officers now that that is the building that is gonna go down next. In fact, one officer told me they’re just waiting for that to come down at this point” — and by the BBC, who erroneously began reporting the total collapse 23 minutes before it actually occurred; and

WHEREAS, in spite of the fact that the total collapse of WTC 7 had been predicted with absolute certainty and accuracy starting six hours in advance, investigators for the Building Performance Study, conducted by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), were reportedly “stunned” by the collapse and concluded in May 2002:

“The specifics of the fires in WTC 7 and how they caused the building to collapse remain unknown at this time. Although the total diesel fuel on the premises contained massive potential energy, the best hypothesis has only a low probability of occurrence”; and

WHEREAS, NIST stated at the beginning of its investigation in August 2002 that fires “played a significant role” in the total collapse of WTC 7 — thus violating Sections 4.3.7 and 4.3.8 of NFPA 921: Guide for Fire and Explosion Investigations, which advise:

“Until data have been collected, no specific hypothesis can be reasonably formed or tested. All investigations of fire and explosion incidents should be approached by the investigator without presumption....” and,

“Expectation bias is a well-established phenomenon that occurs in scientific analysis when investigator(s) reach a premature conclusion without having examined or considered all of the relevant data.... The introduction of expectation bias into the investigation results in the use of only that data that supports this previously formed conclusion and often results in the misinterpretation and/or the discarding of data that does not support the original opinion”; and

WHEREAS, three and one-half years after NIST began its investigation, NIST’s lead investigator, Dr. Shyam Sunder, stated that NIST had some “preliminary hypotheses,” but conceded, “[T]ruthfully, I don’t really know. We’ve had trouble getting a handle on building No. 7”; and

WHEREAS, NIST finally concluded in 2008 — three years after the originally scheduled release of its WTC 7 report — that the total collapse of WTC 7 was caused by normal office fires that burned “at temperatures hundreds of degrees below those typically considered in design practice for establishing structural fire resistance ratings,” and ruled out earlier hypotheses that diesel fuel fires and structural damage contributed to the collapse; and



FEMA AND NIST INVESTIGATOR JOHN GROSS
POSES NEXT TO A PIECE OF PREVIOUSLY
MELTED STEEL FROM WTC 7.

WHEREAS, NIST declined to examine previously melted steel from WTC 7 that had a “Swiss cheese appearance,” and which had been documented in Appendix C of the FEMA/ASCE Building Performance Study as follows:

“Evidence of a severe high temperature corrosion attack on the steel, including oxidation and sulfidation with subsequent intergranular melting, was readily visible in the near-surface microstructure. A liquid eutectic mixture containing primarily iron, oxygen, and sulfur formed during this hot corrosion attack on the steel.... The severe corrosion and subsequent erosion of Samples 1 and 2 are a very unusual event. No clear explanation for the source of the sulfur has been identified”; and

WHEREAS, NIST’s computer model — which terminates less than two seconds into the seven-second collapse — fails to replicate the observed structural behavior, showing large deformations to WTC 7’s exterior not observed in the videos, while not showing the observed period of free fall; and

WHEREAS, NIST omitted critical structural features of WTC 7 from its computer model, which, in the opinion of independent engineers, if corrected, would show that the initiating failure reported by NIST had zero probability of occurring; and


WHEREAS, NIST has refused to release key portions of its modeling data to engineers studying the collapse of WTC 7, claiming that to do so “might jeopardize public safety” — thus making it impossible for any building professional in the world to independently verify NIST’s findings;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the AIA Board of Directors shall commence the process to adopt a Position Statement, to be published in the AIA Directory of Public Policies and Position Statements, declaring both:

- The AIA’s belief that incidents involving the catastrophic failure of buildings and other structures must be investigated using the highest standards of science-based investigation and analysis; and
- The AIA’s support for a new investigation into the total collapse of WTC 7.



PREVIOUSLY MELTED STEEL FROM WTC 7
(THE SAME PIECE AS SHOWN ABOVE). THIS
PHOTO IS TAKEN FROM APPENDIX C OF THE
FEMA BUILDING PERFORMANCE STUDY.



NIST’S COMPUTER MODEL TERMINATES LESS
THAN TWO SECONDS INTO THE COLLAPSE.
IT SHOWS LARGE DEFORMATIONS TO
THE EXTERIOR NOT SEEN IN THE VIDEOS,
AND DOES NOT SHOW ANY PERIOD
OF FREE FALL. EVEN USING FAVORABLE
ASSUMPTIONS, NIST’S MODEL FAILS TO
REPLICATE THE OBSERVED BEHAVIOR.

LET US RAISE OUR VOICE

VOTE **YES** TO SUPPORTING
A NEW WTC 7 INVESTIGATION



“The question before us is this: Do we have the courage to raise our voice for what is right?”

— DANIEL BARNUM, FAIA



**BECOME A
SPONSOR**



**MAKE YOUR
VOICE HEARD**



**LEARN MORE,
GET INVOLVED**

RAISE YOUR VOICE

BECOME A SPONSOR!

Members of the American Institute of Architects may submit a resolution for consideration at the AIA National Convention when at least 50 members sponsor the resolution.

More than 200 members are sponsoring this resolution as of November 2016 – but that is just the beginning. Add **your name** to the growing list of sponsors by mailing in this card before February 1, 2017.

☒ Yes, I would like to sponsor next year's resolution calling for the AIA to support a new WTC 7 investigation!

First Name *

Last Name: *

Designation (circle one) * **Assoc. AIA** / **AIA** / **FAIA** AIA Member #:

State of Residence: *

Phone # * -- Email:

* Required information

Your name and member # will be shared with the AIA. Your name may be displayed in promotional materials.

Email updates? **Yes / No**